

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/528,070	03/17/2005	Akio Aoyama	Y1929.0106	6377
32172 759	90 09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EWART, JAMES D	
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL. NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
,			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/528,070	AOYAMA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James D. Ewart	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this 4		in the final rejection, wh	ichavar is later. In		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		Aims = 1, , &1 = d = = - d =			
non-allowable claim(s).	llowable il submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendme	ent canceling the		
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.</li> </ul>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				



Continuation of 3. NOTE: wherein the deterioration rate is a ratio of the points where reception power or quality is lower than a predetermined value within specified coverage is a new limitation and would require further consideration and/or search. .

James 5

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600